System Design for a Million TPS

Hüsnü Sensoy **Global Maksimum Data & Information Technologies**









Global Maksimum Data & Information Technologies

- Focused just on large scale data and information problems.
- Complex Event Processing
 - Oracle CEP
 - Making 500 different business decisions for 1.2 Millions of events in a second
- Data Mining
 - Oracle Data Mining
- Large scale data analytics
 - Ten billion rows in a week



Agenda: How to Design Systems for Extreme Performance

- Latency and Throughput
- Know your Hardware
- Physics rules it !!!
- Test it. But really test it



Latency and Throughput

- People usually use following statements as if they mean the same thing:
 - A low latency application.
 - We need to process many events per second.



 $Latency_{Average} = rac{1}{(\mu - \lambda)}$ $QueueLengthNeeded_{MIN} = rac{\lambda}{(\mu - \lambda)}$ $Throughput \equiv \lambda$ $Throughput_{MAX} = \mu$





Inference

• If some application asks for 30 MB/s from disk IO subsystem your throughput is 30 MB/s and this does not tell any thing about the latency per MB.



- If some application asks for 30 MB/s from disk IO subsystem your throughput is 30 MB/s and this does not tell any thing about the latency per MB.
- If the disk IO system requires 5 msec per 1 MB request, maximum throughput is 200 MB/s



- If some application asks for 30 MB/s from disk IO subsystem your throughput is 30 MB/s and this does not tell any thing about the latency per MB.
- If the disk IO system requires 5 msec per 1 MB request, maximum throughput is 200 MB/s
- If the application asks for 60 MB/s from disk IO subsystem with a maximum throughput of 200 MB/s, each 1 MB will be served in 7 msec on the average



- If some application asks for 30 MB/s from disk IO subsystem your throughput is 30 MB/s and this does not tell any thing about the latency per MB.
- If the disk IO system requires 5 msec per 1 MB request, maximum throughput is 200 MB/s
- If the application asks for 60 MB/s from disk IO subsystem with a maximum throughput of 200 MB/s, each 1 MB will be served in 7 msec on the average
- If the application asks for 150 MB/s from disk IO subsystem with a maximum throughput of 200 MB/s, each 1 MB will be served in 20 msec on the average



- If some application asks for 30 MB/s from disk IO subsystem your throughput is 30 MB/s and this does not tell any thing about the latency per MB.
- If the disk IO system requires 5 msec per 1 MB request, maximum throughput is 200 MB/s
- If the application asks for 60 MB/s from disk IO subsystem with a maximum throughput of 200 MB/s, each 1 MB will be served in 7 msec on the average
- If the application asks for 150 MB/s from disk IO subsystem with a maximum throughput of 200 MB/s, each 1 MB will be served in 20 msec on the average
- For throughput demanding applications increasing demand rate will simply increase the throughput as long as you have sufficiently long request queue.



- If some application asks for 30 MB/s from disk IO subsystem your throughput is 30 MB/s and this does not tell any thing about the latency per MB.
- If the disk IO system requires 5 msec per 1 MB request, maximum throughput is 200 MB/s
- If the application asks for 60 MB/s from disk IO subsystem with a maximum throughput of 200 MB/s, each 1 MB will be served in 7 msec on the average
- If the application asks for 150 MB/s from disk IO subsystem with a maximum throughput of 200 MB/s, each 1 MB will be served in 20 msec on the average
- For throughput demanding applications increasing demand rate will simply increase the throughput as long as you have sufficiently long request queue.
- For real-time applications this is not true. You can not have arbitrarily long queues. Because all real-time applications have a scheduling deadline.
 - As opposed to common thinking, real-time is not about being fast but about being deterministic.



- If some application asks for 30 MB/s from disk IO subsystem your throughput is 30 MB/s and this does not tell any thing about the latency per MB.
- If the disk IO system requires 5 msec per 1 MB request, maximum throughput is 200 MB/s
- If the application asks for 60 MB/s from disk IO subsystem with a maximum throughput of 200 MB/s, each 1 MB will be served in 7 msec on the average
- If the application asks for 150 MB/s from disk IO subsystem with a maximum throughput of 200 MB/s, each 1 MB will be served in 20 msec on the average
- For throughput demanding applications increasing demand rate will simply increase the throughput as long as you have sufficiently long request queue.
- For real-time applications this is not true. You can not have arbitrarily long queues. Because all real-time applications have a scheduling deadline.
 - As opposed to common thinking, real-time is not about being fast but about being deterministic.
- For real-time applications what you can do it to make processor(s) faster or reject the requests if possible.



Inference

- If some application asks for 30 MB/s from disk IO subsystem your throughput is 30 MB/s and this does not tell any thing about the latency per MB.
- If the disk IO system requires 5 msec per 1 MB request, maximum throughput is 200 MB/s
- If the application asks for 60 MB/s from disk IO subsystem with a maximum throughput of 200 MB/s, each 1 MB will be served in 7 msec on the average
- If the application asks for 150 MB/s from disk IO subsystem with a maximum throughput of 200 MB/s, each 1 MB will be served in 20 msec on the average
- For throughput demanding applications increasing demand rate will simply increase the throughput as long as you have sufficiently long request queue.
- For real-time applications this is not true. You can not have arbitrarily long queues. Because all real-time applications have a scheduling deadline.
 - As opposed to common thinking, real-time is not about being fast but about being deterministic.
- For real-time applications what you can do it to make processor(s) faster or reject the requests if possible.

$Latency_{Average} = rac{1}{(\mu - \lambda)}$ $QueueLengthNeeded_{MIN} = rac{\lambda}{(\mu - \lambda)}$ $Throughput \equiv \lambda$ $Throughput_{MAX} = \mu$





Inference

- If some application asks for 30 MB/s from disk IO subsystem your throughput is 30 MB/s and this does not tell any thing about the latency per MB.
- If the disk IO system requires 5 msec per 1 MB request, maximum throughput is 200 MB/s
- If the application asks for 60 MB/s from disk IO subsystem with a maximum throughput of 200 MB/s, each 1 MB will be served in 7 msec on the average
- If the application asks for 150 MB/s from disk IO subsystem with a maximum throughput of 200 MB/s, each 1 MB will be served in 20 msec on the average
- For throughput demanding applications increasing demand rate will simply increase the throughput as long as you have sufficiently long request queue.
- For real-time applications this is not true. You can not have arbitrarily long queues. Because all real-time applications have a scheduling deadline.
 - As opposed to common thinking, real-time is not about being fast but about being deterministic.
- For real-time applications what you can do it to make processor(s) faster or reject the requests if possible.

$Latency_{Average} = rac{1}{(\mu - \lambda)}$ $QueueLengthNeeded_{MIN} = rac{\lambda}{(\mu - \lambda)}$ $Throughput \equiv \lambda$ $Throughput_{MAX} = \mu$





Arrival Ratio to Processing Rate Ratio





- Do you need a higher frequency or more cores ?
 - Intel follows the strategy to inversely correlate number of cores and per core frequency.



- Do you need a higher frequency or more cores ?
 - Intel follows the strategy to inversely correlate number of cores and per core frequency.
- Is your application designed for scale up or scale out ?



- Do you need a higher frequency or more cores ?
 - Intel follows the strategy to inversely correlate number of cores and per core frequency.
- Is your application designed for scale up or scale out ?
- Is your application NUMA aware ?



- Do you need a higher frequency or more cores ?
 - Intel follows the strategy to inversely correlate number of cores and per core frequency.
- Is your application designed for scale up or scale out ?
- Is your application NUMA aware ?
- Cache Configuration
 - L1/L2/L3 Size
 - Cache block unit size



- Do you need a higher frequency or more cores ?
 - Intel follows the strategy to inversely correlate number of cores and per core frequency.
- Is your application designed for scale up or scale out ?
- Is your application NUMA aware ?
- Cache Configuration
 - L1/L2/L3 Size
 - Cache block unit size
- Do you need more memory or do you need fast memory ?







Intel Processors





Intel Processors







Intel Processors

\$

Scale with Cores











Scale Up



Know Your Hardware

- What extensions does your processor support ?
 - SSE, MMX, AVX, ...
- Your server is running in max performance/power efficient/balanced-mode ?
- What is the maximum PCI but speed allowed by your configuration ?





- No matter how fast your single box is, it has a limit
 - Even you have a 8 socket machine



- No matter how fast your single box is, it has a limit
 - Even you have a 8 socket machine
- In order to go faster you need to put multiple boxes connected to each other.



- No matter how fast your single box is, it has a limit
 - Even you have a 8 socket machine
- In order to go faster you need to put multiple boxes connected to each other.
- This connections must be
 - Fast
 - 2.5 GB/s @ 32K block
 - 9 micro second @ 2K block SDP
 - 30 micro second @ 2K block TCP
 - Reliable
 - Bonding





- Scaling out an application requires application to be written scale-out in mind no matter how fast your inter connect fabric is.
- Otherwise you will never achieve linearity.
- Key word in hear is HASHing



Real Testing

- Many development teams do not test their applications for
 - Performance
 - Availability
- One reason is the cost of putting an identical test system configuration.
- If you can seriously reduce the hardware cost you can create an identical test system. So that you can perform real tests.



Stop Swapping for Real-Time

- If your application needs large amount of memory allocation then there is always a risk for OS to swap out your memory page to disk until you need it.
- Many applications on Linux (Oracle Database, Java JVM, etc) supports allocating memory from Huge Page pool.
- Huge Pages are pinned into memory and skipped by Linux swap process ensuring that they will be in physical memory whenever you refer to them.
- Moreover since Huge Pages are larger than standard ones, OS spends less CPU cycles on TLB

50% = 100%

- For some applications you may observe a maximum server utilization of 50%.
- This may be related to the CPU IO balance of your application running on server.
- If your application really hammers on CPU there is no way to utilize servers at 100%

Conclusion

- Define your application scope in terms of
 - Performance
 - Availability
 - Scalability
- Choose your hardware appropriately
- Use your hardware appropriately
- Hardware & Configuration is only 40% of it. Rest is all about the software you implement on it.





Don't stuck at Local Minima(s)...



husnu.sensoy@globalmaksimum.com



husnu.sensoy@gmail.com



http://husnusensoy.wordpress.com





